STATINTL

THE CONTACT PLOTES OF STATE and benator fulbright

Mr. FULBRIGHT. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent to have printed at this point in the RECORD a letteer from the Secretary of State and my reply thereto.

There being no objection, the letters were ordered to be printed in the RECORD, as follows:

JULY 1, 1960.

Hon. J. W. Folkricht, Chairman, Committee on Foreign Relations, U.S. Senate.

DEAR MR. CHAIRMAN: During my testimony before your committee on May 27, a number of questions were saked about a decision not to suspend the U-2 flights because the sumimit conference was pending. On reading the transcript, it seems to me the testimony has left that point unclear. Accordingly, I should like to take this opportunity to clarify it, and would appreciate it if this letter could be made a part of your hearing record.

There was a decision not to suspend the ights. The President so stated in his May flights. The President so stated in his May 25 radio-television talk, when he said "the quartion was really whether to halt the friegram and this forgo the gathering of important information that was essential and that was likely to be unavailable at a lister date. The decision was that the preparam should not be halted. While I did not participate in that decision, the President was herertheless fully sware of my was and I thoroughly egree—as I indicated if my testimony—that the decision was a wis one.

This yill clear up any uncertainty on this point when your harring retord may reflect.

CHARTIAN A. MERTER.

JULY 2, 1900.

State.

D.O.

Bennerall: Thank you for you have to stay the stay of the stay o Commit

cummit southerense. Unfortunately not be possible to include point street hearing record, beginse that record already been published. Thur letter course, become a part of the permaten ords of the Poreign Belifstons Committee Labell also have it printed in the Committee that the SSONAL RECORD, along with this reply.

With all due respect, I do not think reply.

With all due respect, I do not think rour letter playings the point, very busch. It states that "there was a decision not to suspend the flights" and diddle the Freedent's speech of May 25 to the same effect. The President's speech was of obtave, before the committee as the time of the heatings, and you quoted it than.

At that time, you also agreed that me specific decision not to suspend the flights was taken (p. 58). I inter from your letter that such a decision was in fact taken, that you did not participate in it, but that you agreed with it. Your letter also supports the inference, though less clearly, that the decision was taken by the President. But we are still left in the dark shi to which it was are still left in the dark ab to when it was taken and as to who, if anyone healdes the President, participated in it. I am somewhat disturbed by the thought that such a decision would be taken without the participation of the Secretary of Date.

Most impressibility, the reside is still important as to whitther the inside the installation applied to the program of flights, ables intelligence device to be southined within its symbol to period of these, or whether it symbol to mention if flights included within the overall

evening Alegas included whitely the consequence of of whether a set period for within Because 1 and lead ence public? all

Approved For Release 1999/09/17 : CIA-RDP75-00049R000200940107-2